



Santa Cruz County 2026 CoC Project Scoring Tool

Reviewer: _____ Check that not conflicted per CoC policy ____

Agency/Project: _____

No.	Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Reviewer Points
1	Housing/Project Type 15 pts for: - PSH renewals - CES renewal (CoC only) 7 ½ pts for: - DV TH new (created through <i>transition</i> of DV RRH renewals, or <i>DV bonus</i>) - CoC TH new (created through <i>transition</i> of CoC RRH or joint RRH-TH renewals, <i>reallocation</i> of other CoC funds, or <i>CoC bonus</i>) - YHDP TH new (created through <i>replacement</i> of YHDP RRH, joint TH-RRH, or Shared Housing, or <i>reallocation</i> of other YHDP funds) - YHDP TH and SSO renewals 0 pts for: – All other projects.	15 PTS POSSIBLE 15 pts: - PSH and CoC CES renewals 7 ½ pts: - New TH projects and YHDP SSO renewals 0 pts: Other	
2	Priority Population Served –Chronically Homeless, DV, or youth population served by project The project meets the needs of a high priority population as described below: - Experiencing chronic homelessness with disability - Survivors or domestic violence - Youth - Seniors	5 PTS POSSIBLE CH w/disability, DV, Youth, Senior pops: 5 pts – 100% 4 pts –70-99% 3 pts – 50-69% 2 pts –25-49% 1 pt – 1-24% 0 pts – 0%. (CES & HMIS 5 pts)	
3	PERFORMANCE MEASURES The project has met or exceeded measurable performance expectations in the below areas based on HMIS APR data for renewals and other projects that use HMIS (or other data systems where the project does not yet participate in HMIS)	26 PTS POSSIBLE (CES & HMIS 20 pts), including: 25 Pts HUD System Performance 1 Pt Other Performance	
	<i>HUD System Performance Measure (3A-3D) 25 pts possible:</i>		
3A	Housing Stability and Exits (residential and SSO projects): - For PSH renewals, did you meet the standard in helping leavers and stayers combined retain PH for 7 months or more? – at least 90% - For RRH renewals, did you meet the standard in helping leavers find and move into housing? – at least 80% - For new TH, have you previously met in prior RRH, TH, or other comparable project(s) the standard in helping leavers find and move into PH? – at least 85% - For SSO renewals, did you meet the standard in helping leavers fund and move into PH? For new SSO, have you	10 Pts Available PSH: 9 pts –90% + 5 pts–80-89% 0 pts - <80% TH: 9 pts –80% + 5 pts–70-79% 0 pts - <70% RRH: 9 pts –85% +	

No.	Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Reviewer Points
	previously met in prior comparable project(s) the standard in helping leavers find and move into PH? – at least 40% - For all renewals, did you meet the standard in helping leavers exit to unsubsidized housing? – at least 20%. For all new projects, have you previously met in prior RRH, TH, SSO, or other comparable project(s) the standard in helping leavers exit to unsubsidized housing? – at least 20% - For all renewals, did you meet the standard in avoiding leavers with unknown destinations? – no more than 10%. For all new projects, have you previously met in prior RRH, TH, SSO, or other comparable project(s) the standard in avoiding leavers with unknown destinations? – no more than 10%.	5 pts–75-84% 0 pts - <75% SSO: 9 pts –40% + 5 pts–30-39% 0 pts - <30% All projects: ½ pt – at least 20% leavers to unsubsidized housing ½ pt – no more than 10% leavers to unknown dest. 0 pts – did not meet either standard	
3B	Employment Income (residential and SSO projects): For all PSH, TH, RRH, and SSO projects, did you meet the standard in helping ADULT leavers and stayers combined gain or increase income from employment ONLY? – at least 25%	8 Pts Available Employment 8 pts – 25% + 5 pts – 12-24% 3 pts – 4-11% 1 pt – 2-4% 0 pts – 0-1%	
3C	Returns to Homelessness (residential and SSO projects): For all projects except HMIS, did you meet the standard in ensuring that leavers did not exit to non-permanent destinations (e.g., shelters, TH, hotels, motels, and the streets)? – no more than 20%	5 Pts Available 5 pts –20% max 3 pt – 21-30% 0 pts - >30%	
3D	Length of Stay/Participation (residential and SSO projects): - For PSH only, did you meet the standard by <u>increasing</u> the annual average LOS in PH for leavers and stayers combined? – higher LOS average than previous APR year - For TH, RRH, & SSO, did you meet the standard by <u>decreasing</u> the annual average LOS/participation in TH, RRH, or SSO for leavers? – lower LOS/ participation ave. than previous year	2 Pt Available PSH: 2 pt – + LOS 0 pts – - LOS TH, RRH, & SSO: 2 pt – - LOS 0 pts – + LOS	
	<i>Non-System Performance Measure (3E-3F) 1 pt possible</i>		
3E	Program Occupancy/Enrollment (residential and SSO projects): For all projects, did you meet the standard in ensuring that average program occupancy / enrollment met CoC standard. – at least 90% for the year	1 Pt Available 1 pt – 90% + ½ pt – 80-89% 0 pts - <80%	
3F	Victim Service Providers only - Safety: Propose at least one measure of the degree of participant safety that you will commit to using in the future.	Not Scored This Year	
4	PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS	19 PTS POSSIBLE (CES & HMIS 14 pts)	
4A	Coordinated Entry Participation: The minimum percentage of new clients since 1/1/25 who came from CES referral, or who were enrolled in CES for SSO project serving people experiencing homelessness at the time of enrollment.	2 Pts Available 2 pts–95% + 1 pts –85- 94% 0 pts – - 85%.	
4B	Required Supportive Services Participation (all projects): - The project will require supportive services participation as evidenced by a participant services agreement (e.g., contract, occupancy agreement, lease, or equivalent). Please attach. - In the case of TH focused 100% on SUD Treatment, the project will require SUD treatment as evidenced by a	10 Pts Available - Yes – 10 pts - No 0 pts	

No.	Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Reviewer Points
	participant SUD treatment participation agreement (please attach).		
4C	New TH only: The project commits to making available 20 hours of customized services per program participant, except for participants over 62 or with a physical disability/impairment, or reduced proportionally for employment, school, or volunteering.	4 Pts Available - Yes – 4 pts - No – 0 pts (non-TH 4 pts)	
4D	The project will provide SUD services onsite.	3 Pts Available - Yes – 3 pts - No – 0 pts	
5	FINANCIAL AND COST EFFECTIVENESS	15 PTS POSSIBLE	
5A	Prioritizing Supportive Service Funding: The percentage of CoC funding proposed to be used on Supportive Services funding.	5 Pts Available 5 pts – 50 - 100% 3 pt – 30 - 49% 0 pts – - 30%. (HMIS 4 pts)	
5B	Leveraging Supportive Services: Does the project leverage (leverage, match, and service partnerships combined) total at least 50% of the HUD funds requested	5 Pts Available - Yes – 5 pts - No – 0 pts	
5C	Drawdown Completeness: - The percentage of overall HUD grant drawn down in the most recent completed program year recorded in the most recent APR. - Applicants with no previous HUD grants may use comparable evidence from another similar government funding source (please attach evidence from the funding source that includes the amount budgeted and the amount spent)	5 Pts Available 5 pts – 100% 3 pts – 95- 99% 1 pt – 86 - 94% 0 pts – - 86%.	
6	AGENCY EXPERIENCE/CAPACITY	10 PTS POSSIBLE	
6A	Agency Yrs of Experience: Number of yrs of agency experience in implementing the proposed program OR similar program type (PSH, RRH, TH, SSO, Outreach, CES, HMIS, etc.)	5 Pts Available 5 pts – 8+ yrs 4 pts – 5 to 7 yrs 3 pts – 4 to 6 yrs 2 pts – 2 to 3 yrs 1 pts – 1 to 2 yrs 0 pts – - 1 yr	
6B	Capacity Issues: - Points will be deducted if in the past year (7/1/25-present): (1) the agency has unresolved <i>H4HP</i> monitoring findings in CoC programs, (2) the agency has unresolved <i>HUD</i> monitoring findings in CoC programs, (3) the agency has significant findings <i>in most recent audit</i>, (4) the agency has been late in submitting a CoC APR, or (5) the agency has one or more unresolved participant or public complaints. - Applicants with no previous CoC grants may use comparable evidence from another similar government funding source (please attach evidence from the funding source regarding any monitoring findings, and latest funder report showing due date and submission date)	-5 Pts Deduction Possible 1 pts deduction – unresolved H4HP findings 1 pts deduction – unresolved HUD findings 1 pts deduction – significant audit findings 1 pts deduction – late APR 1 pts deduction – unresolved complaint	
6C	Narrative Responses - Experience in Key Areas: Please describe your agency's prior experience and success in the following key areas: - Priority Population Served - Serving clients who are experiencing chronic homelessness, are survivors of domestic violence, are youth, or are seniors - Program Design – Operating a similar type of program	5 Pts Available 1 pt – Priority population 1 pt – Program design 1 pt – CES participation 1 pt – Treatment 1 pt – Employment & self-sufficiency	

No.	Scoring Criteria	Points Possible	Reviewer Points
	- CES Participation – Accepting and successfully housing program referrals through CES - Treatment and Recovery – Providing or assisting participants to access treatment and recovery services - Employment & Self-sufficiency – Providing services or referrals for client to become and remain employed and attain self-sufficiency through employment and childcare.		
7	Mainstream Resources The number of strategies the program has identified to help clients access federal mainstream benefits, including Medicaid; State Children’s Health Insurance Program; TANF (CalWORKS); Food Stamps; SSI; Workforce Investment Act; <i>Employment Income</i>; Welfare to Work Grant Programs and Veterans Health Care. Narrative Description: Description of how project completes each of the above strategies must support each strategy checked.	7 PTS POSSIBLE 7 pts – 7 - 8 5 pts – 5 - 6 3 pt – 3 - 4 2 pts – 2 1 pt – 1 0 pts – 0	
8	Community Collaboration and Participation (3 pts possible) To what extent does the applicant agency support the Housing for Health Partnership (H4HP) by: (1) participating in meetings of the H4HP general membership, (2) participating in HMIS by entering client data into HMIS for 100% of its programs that are listed in the 2025 Housing Inventory Chart (HIC); and (3) participating in CES with no housing referral denials except if there is an appropriate reason for denial under the CES P&Ps OR for SSO projects enrolling participants experiencing homelessness in CES? <i>Sub-scores will be determined by H4HP staff based upon appropriate H4HP and documentation for the period from July 1, 2025, to the present time.</i>	3 PTS POSSIBLE H4HP meetings: 1 pt: 75-100% 0 pts: 0-74% HMIS participation: 1 pt: 100% 0 pts: - 100% CES participation: 1 pt: Receives referrals, no inappropriate denials 0 pts: No referrals OR has inappropriate denials	
TOTAL PTS (100 possible)			

HUD Objective Criteria and System Performance Factors (NOFO pp. 70-71):

- 25% - HUD system performance measures (Qs 3A-3D - 25 pts/100 total pts = 25%)
- 100% - Objective criteria (Qs 1-8 all questions use objective criteria - 100 pts/100 total pts = 100%)
- All housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, and PH-RRH) – Measures above used for all housing projects:
 - Returns to homelessness – Q 3C
 - Employment income – Q 3B
 - Supportive service participation requirements – Q 4B